

STINSON
MORRISON
HECKER LLPRECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 27 2006

Tel (816) 842-8600
Fax (816) 691-3495
1201 Walnut, Suite 2900
Kansas City, MO 64106-2150

Facsimile

To:	Facsimile #	Firm	Firm Telephone #
Patent Central FAX	(571) 273-8300	USPTO	

From: Judith L. Carlson

Date: October 27, 2006

Pages: 4 (including this cover sheet)

Sender ID#: 3048

Client-Matter #: 083163-0101

The original of this
document was sent by☐ First Class Mail
☐ Messenger
☐ Air Mail☐ Overnight Mail
☒ No Other Delivery
☐ Other: _____

Comment:

Return Original To: Donna Oakley

Notify When Complete: _____

KANSAS CITY
OVERLAND PARK
WICHITA
WASHINGTON, D.C.
PHOENIX
ST. LOUIS
OMAHA
JEFFERSON CITY

THIS TRANSMITTAL AND ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS ARE INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us at (816) 842-8600.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 27 2006

Serial No.: 09/160,424
Docket No.: 1215**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventors : Schneebeli et al.
Serial No. : 09/160,424
Filing Date : September 25, 1998
Title : VIRTUAL CONTENT PUBLISHING SYSTEM AND
METHOD
Group/Art Unit : 2152
Examiner : Willett, Stephan
Docket No. : 1215

Via Facsimile: Patent Central No. (571) 273-8300

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY STATEMENT

On August 10, 2006, Applicant's counsel Judith Carlson ("Ms. Carlson") conducted a telephone interview with Examiner Willett ("the Examiner") with regard to the status of the appeal for the above-referenced application. Specifically, Ms. Carlson stated that Applicant's revised Appeal Brief had been filed on August 11, 2005, and inquired as to when the Examiner expected to file an Examiner's Answer. The Examiner stated that he had located new prior art and requested that Applicant file a Request for Continued Examination ("RCE") so that he could cite this new prior art. Ms. Carlson inquired as to whether the Examiner intended to re-open prosecution in order to cite this new prior art. The Examiner stated that he would not re-open prosecution, and again requested that Applicant file an RCE so that he could cite this new prior art. The Examiner further stated that he would not receive a "count" if he re-opened prosecution,

Certification of Facsimile Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. 2.197

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office to fax number (571) 273-8300 on:

Date: October 27, 2006
Signature: Anna Oakley
Printed Name: Anna Oakley

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any additional amount required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-4409.

Serial No.: 09/160,424

Docket No.: 1215

but that he would receive a "count" if Applicant filed an RCE. Ms. Carlson stated that Applicant did not intend to file an RCE and requested that the Examiner file an Examiner's Answer as soon as possible.

On September 25, 2006, Ms. Carlson received a telephone message from the Examiner indicating that he wanted to discuss the application. In response, on September 26, 2006, Ms. Carlson telephoned the Examiner and conducted a follow-up telephone interview in which the Examiner stated that he would provide Applicant with the patent numbers of the new prior art. The Examiner further stated that he was providing the patent numbers "off the record," and proceeded to identify the following patent numbers: U.S. Patent No. 5,956,489; U.S. Patent No. 6,205,481; U.S. Patent No. 6,618,751; U.S. Patent No. 5,592,626; and U.S. Patent No. 6,564,216. Ms. Carlson inquired as to whether the Examiner intended to re-open prosecution in order to cite these identified patents. The Examiner responded that these identified patents may not be more relevant than the cited references that are the subject of the appeal and, thus, he would not re-open prosecution. Ms. Carlson inquired as to whether the Examiner considered the identified patents to be §102 references or §103 references. The Examiner responded that at least U.S. Patent No. 5,956,489 is a §102 reference. Ms. Carlson noted that all of the cited references that are the subject of the appeal are §103 references, and inquired again as to whether the Examiner intended to re-open prosecution in order to cite, at a minimum, U.S. Patent No. 5,956,489. The Examiner again stated that he would not re-open prosecution. Ms. Carlson then inquired as to when the Examiner expected to file an Examiner's Answer. The Examiner responded that he would attempt to file an Examiner's Answer within the next three months, but that he could not guarantee this time frame.

Serial No.: 09/160,424
Docket No.: 1215

Should the Examiner have any questions or comments with respect to this Interview Summary Statement, he is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the number listed below.

Acknowledgment of receipt is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Judith L. Carlson
Judith L. Carlson, Reg. No. 41,904
STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP
1201 Walnut, Suite 2800
Kansas City, MO 64106-2150
Telephone: (816) 842-8600
Facsimile: (816) 691-3495